Exploring the Effect of Sparse Recovery on the Quality of Image Superresolution

Antonio Castro, Mohammad Rostami Department of Mechanical Engineering Fresno City College

Problem Statement

- Image super-resolution (S-R) is widely used to enhance the resolution and quality of a low-resolution (LR) image, to obtain a higher-resolution (HR) version without hardware improvement.
- S-R based on dictionary learning, a method used in signal processing to find a way to represent data in a more concise and meaningful manner, has been used successfully in variety of S-R applications.
- Challenges: S-R based on dictionary learning relies on sparse recovery. There are many existing sparse recovery methods, but their effects on the quality of S-R are not well studied.

SR based on Coupled Dictionary Learning

- **Training phase:** two dictionaries are trained using a dataset of paired HR and LR images.
- Testing phase: LR images are used to recover the shared sparse vector, which then is used to reconstruct the HR image

Algorithm 1 (SR via Sparse Representation).

The Effect of Sparse Recovery on SR Quality in the Testing Phase

- There are various algorithms to solve the optimization problem in Step 2 in the image S-R algorithm based on coupled dictionary learning
- For an optimal performance, it is helpful to know what sparse recovery algorithm we should use.
- 1: Input: training dictionaries D_h and D_l , a low-resolution image Y.
- 2: For each 3×3 patch y of Y, taken starting from the upper-left corner with 1 pixel overlap in each direction,
 - Compute the mean pixel value m of patch y.
 - Solve the optimization problem with \tilde{D} and \tilde{y} defined in (8): $\min_{\alpha} \|\tilde{D}\alpha \tilde{y}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\alpha\|_{1}$.
 - Generate the high-resolution patch $x = D_h \alpha^*$. Put the patch x + m into a high-resolution image X_0 .
- 3: End
- 4: Using gradient descent, find the closest image to X_0 which satisfies the reconstruction constraint

$$X^* = \arg\min_{X} \|SHX - Y\|_2^2 + c\|X - X_0\|_2^2$$

Empirical Exploration

- We study the effect of sparse recovery on the quality of S-R using five sparse recovery algorithms.
- We prepare a small dataset of representative images and report the performance of S-R using these algorithms on our dataset.

Results

- We perform experiments for 5 images
- We report PSNR (Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio) values for different methods

Method	Lena	Boat	Cameraman	Butterfly	Bridge	Average
QP						
SL0	32.531 dB	12.064 dB	11.823 dB	19.579 dB		
OMP						



If interested contact: Antonio Castro, castroantonio812@gmail.com Work performed under REU Site program supported by NSF grant #2051101

JSC Viterbi School of Engineering

Information Sciences Institute